



CPIC #0252
EIS SCOPING INPUT FORM
August 2014

RECEIVED AUG. 27 2014
RIDGEFIELD

Please fill out this sheet in ink and drop it in the comment box. PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY.

Name: SYDNEY REISBICK

Address: PO Box 339, RIDGEFIELD, WA 98642

Open house location: RIDGEFIELD

Comment:

See Attached

Would you like to be added to our notification list? If so, please print your E-mail address clearly below:

E-mail address: _____

Other ways to comment:

- **Submit a comment on the web:**
www.clark.wa.gov/planning/2016update/comments
- **Submit a comment in writing:**
Comprehensive Plan EIS Scoping
Community Planning
P.O. Box 9810
Vancouver, WA 98666

Comments are due September 1, 2014.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in the EIS scoping.

We appreciate your input and will use it to ensure that the SEIS contains issues of importance to our community.

REISBICK 1/3

RECEIVED AUG:27 2014
RIDGEFIELD

My Personal List: Sydney Reisbick, PO Box339, Ridgefield, WA

Scoping: Please consider the following in the EIS for the 2016 GMP.

Water, Adequate and Clean for the long-term: Is storm water controlled, cleaned and stored in "recharge areas" that return water to aquifers? Is emphasis on keeping natural wetlands rather than engineered ones?

Limiting factors:

Does the plan have a way of stopping/delaying development if:

There is no water on the land?

There is not sustainable water on the land?

Water use by the whole county is not sustainable?

A "smart growth view" of infrastructure delivered for least cost to taxpayers: An overview of the spider web of Clark County infrastructure for the future. Do alternatives foster an efficient web of larger pipes, wires, cables and roads between dense cities? Is the much smaller infrastructure within the green areas minimal (efficiently organized)?

Road functionality. Do they plan for upgrades with congestion? When congestion on the arterials reaches failure, then traffic avoids those intersections and comes through the collectors. When collectors fail, then traffic comes through the neighborhoods endangering children, pets and increasing stress from noise and need for vigilance.

Do they foster development of infrastructure to attract family wage jobs? A job in Clark County can mean someone does not have to go over any bridge.

Codes fostering working agriculture and forestry: Do they plan to reserve the best lands for the above? Consider the burgeoning markets for safe and digestible food (heritage and NGO). Our scattered farmlands are less endangered by genetically altered pollen than many others. Prices on safe food will increase with time relative to mass-market food. Farming is and may increase as a significant addition to family income.

Habitat, both wetland and upland. Do they foster places for wildlife and native plants, in general as well as for endangered species? Houses and businesses near green spaces are worth more than those without. Human health, both mental and physical, is better when there is access to natural areas. Do they leave large "unbuilt" places (native plant and animal places) at full "buildout"?

REISBICK 213

008653

RECEIVED AUG. 27 2014
RUDIGER (EM)

Parks and Trails. Do they foster development of neighborhood parks within ½ mile of all homes? Parks help children understand natural laws and expend energy. Are the parks and greenspaces linked by trails and/or bike lanes?

Transportation diversity: private and public. Does it plan for autos, active transport (bike lanes, sidewalks and paths for walking) and various forms of public transport?

Density in cities. Multi-unit housing for young and old. Does it prepare for the eminent "baby boomer" wave?

Mixed use zones. Does it plan for senior housing complexes near hospitals, stores and transportation?

Energy: Do they reward energy efficiency and off grid energy production?

REISBICK 3/3

008654