

**O'Donnell, Mary Beth**

---



CP16#0250

**From:** LaRocque, Linnea  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2014 8:06 AM  
**To:** O'Donnell, Mary Beth  
**Subject:** Resolution 2014-06-17 For the Public Record

for the file

**From:** Carol Levanen [<mailto:cnldental@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2014 1:20 AM  
**To:** Mielke, Tom; Madore, David; Barnes, Ed; Carol Levanen; Susan Rasmussen; Leah Higgins; Rick Dunning; Rita Dietrich; Jerry Olson; Fred Pickering; Jim Mallnowski; Frank White; Benjamin Moss; Lonnie Moss; Melinda Zamora; Nick Redinger; Curt Massie; Marcus Becker; Clark County Citizens United Inc.  
**Subject:** Resolution 2014-06-17 For the Public Record

Dear Commissioners,

**Resolution 2014-06-17** discusses in Table 2 the population and employment allocation, on page 2 of 4. It discusses the various cities population allocations and then describes the county population allocation of 12,556. This is in direct conflict of the Growth Management Act, which directs counties to use the population allocation numbers for urban growth, not rural growth. This was confirmed by the Washington State Superior Court and the Court of Appeals.

The Resolution also discusses the Rural Lands and states that the county must "*minimize conversion of productive farmland*". Rural land stands alone as a separate zone and landuse, not subject to population projections and independent of a resource zone. Neither is subject to population allocations, according to the laws governing the GMA. Productive farmland, under the GMA, is in a resource zone, not a rural zone. Clark County must not combine these categories, as they are most certainly separated in the GMA.

Sincerely,

Carol Levanen, Ex. Secretary  
Clark County Citizens United, Inc.  
P.O. Box 2188  
Battle Ground, Washington 98604