Dear Commissioners,

Resolution 2014-06-17 discusses in Table 2 the population and employment allocation, on page 2 of 4. It discusses the various cities population allocations and then describes the county population allocation of 12,556. This is in direct conflict of the Growth Management Act, which directs counties to use the population allocation numbers for urban growth, not rural growth. This was confirmed by the Washington State Superior Court and the Court of Appeals.

The Resolution also discusses the Rural Lands and states that the county must "minimize conversion of productive farmland". Rural land stands alone as a separate zone and landuse, not subject to population projections and independent of a resource zone. Neither is subject to population allocations, according to the laws governing the GMA. Productive farmland, under the GMA, is in a resource zone, not a rural zone. Clark County must not combine these categories, as they are most certainly separated in the GMA.

Sincerely,

Carol Levanen, Ex. Secretary
Clark County Citizens United, Inc.
P.O. Box 2188
Battle Ground, Washington 98604