

O'Donnell, Mary Beth

7 1 2 u 1 u i
CP16#0383

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2014 8:54 AM
To: 'Lynn Carman'
Cc: McCall, Marilee; O'Donnell, Mary Beth; Cnty Board of Commissioners General Delivery
Subject: RE: 2016 Plan Update/BOCC worksession of 10/22/2014

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hello Lynn:

I thank you very much for your email and comment. Your email will be included in our 2016 comp plan update index of record. Again, thank you for your interest in Clark County planning process.

Kind Regards,

Oliver

From: Lynn Carman [<mailto:lynn.carman@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2014 8:48 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Cc: McCall, Marilee; O'Donnell, Mary Beth; Cnty Board of Commissioners General Delivery
Subject: RE: 2016 Plan Update/BOCC worksession of 10/22/2014

I have gone over the three options and don't find that any of these three will solve the 60 years of neglect that has been allowed to happen. They still make for bad planning on the part of the county. We also know that one can't mix and match any of the three plans as that them makes for one to have to start over in the process.

Option 1, Status quo isn't an option, it just makes it worse.
Option 2, makes for more density in the rural area which isn't supported by infrastructure and could end up like what highway 99 is if the county allows this to happen. Sorry but it should have density if there isn't funds to support it.
Option3, more land for business in just a couple areas isn't going to work. Cut the number of acres in half and see if this is what it is really going to work to get business out there. But again it's doesn't address the neglect, you are in hopes it will bring business here. Clark County will only be a bedroom community to Portland.

Growth can be controlled but I don't see it being done with any of the options with this go around of GMA. We can't support what has been allowed to happen with the last three go around of GMA. When is the county going to take a proactive stance not a reactive stance and then try to fix it problems that has happened with bandaids?

Sincerely,
Lynn Carman

From: Orjiako, Oliver [<mailto:Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 2:45 PM
To: 'lynn.carman@comcast.net'

Cc: McCall, Marilee; O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: 2016 Plan Update/BOCC worksession of 10/22/2014

Hello Lynn:

Thank you for email and inquiry. In response to your questions, yes you can still send in comments relating to the proposed alternatives to be studied in the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS). Comments are welcomed throughout the planning process until the Board makes the final decision on the comp plan update slated for completion on or before June 30, 2016.

The current GMA is the same as the one in 1994 with the updates in 2004, 2007, and associated annual review and docket map changes. I believe that Marilee provided you with a link <http://www.clark.wa.gov/planning/2016update/index.html> for more information on the 2016 plan update.

We will be delighted to meet with you in person to review all our maps if the version on the website is too small to read. I thank you for your continued interest in Clark County planning process. Please, let me know if you have further questions. Thanks.

Best Regards,

Oliver

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.