

**O'Donnell, Mary Beth**

---

**From:** NoReply@Clark.Wa.Gov  
**Sent:** Monday, November 10, 2014 4:02 PM  
**To:** Cnty 2016 Comp Plan  
**Subject:** 2016 Comp Plan comments submitted

\* 7 2 2 5 8 1 \*

CP16#0429

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Following comments were submitted online:

**Parcel No:**

**Subject:** Rural Character IGNORED

**Comments:**

The GMA gives much value to rural character, and each county's unique characteristics....that is why much discretion is given to local jurisdictions in defining their area's "rural character." Rural Character means to acknowledge the historical existing and preferred patterns of land use and development. Clark County's comp. plan has ignored existing patterns of land use throughout the rural and resource lands. Judge Edwin Poyfair was correct in the Superior Court Orders written in 1997, No. 96-2-00080-2: "The Board's interpretation was erroneous and the County's decision to follow the Board's lead was unfortunate. The result is a plan that gives little regard for the realities of existing rural development in direct contradiction of the terms of the GMA."

When will the Superior Court Orders be respected, and the necessary corrections made to the rural and resource lands?

**Submitted by:**  
Peter Rasmussen

**Email:** [sprazz@outlook.com](mailto:sprazz@outlook.com)

**Address:**

Ridgefield, WA