

Schroader, Kathy

From: Carol Levanen <cnldental@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 11:23 AM
To: Madore, David; Mielke, Tom; Stewart, Jeanne; Silliman, Peter; Cnty 2016 Comp Plan; Carol Levanen
Subject: Fw: comp.plan@clark.wa.gov

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



Dear Commissioners, (For the Record)

I'm not sure this email reached you, so I am sending it again. CCCU is tracking public testimony and are not finding testimony we would expect to find, even though we are searching in all areas on line. This testimony was submitted for the scoping, and is not present in the list of comments. Please let us know where we can find the testimony in the record, thus far, so we can review the information. Thank You!

Sincerely, Carol Levanen, Ex. Secretary, CCCU, Inc.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: James H Malinowski <jim.malinowski@icloud.com>
To: Carol Levanen <cnldental@yahoo.com>
Cc: Susan Rasmussen <sprazz@outlook.com>; Leah Higgins <leahnwhomes@gmail.com>; Rick Dunning <ralan1953@gmail.com>; Rita Dietrich <billrita@pacifier.com>; Jerry Olson <wcrolsons@tds.net>; Fred Pickering <fredp@yacolt.com>; Jim Malinowski <j.malinowski@ieee.org>; Frank White <firfarmer@yahoo.com>; Benjamin Moss <benjaminmoss@johnscott.com>; Lonnie Moss <lon@moss-wriston.com>; Melinda Zamora <mzamora1001@gmail.com>; Nick Redinger <nickredinger@hotmail.com>; Curt Massie <cmassie331@gmail.com>; Marcus Becker <marcusb35@msn.com>; Zachary McIsaac <zmcisaac@ashbaughbeal.com>; Carol Levanen <cnldental@yahoo.com>; Clark County Citizens United Inc. <cccuinc@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2014 3:31 PM
Subject: Re: comp.plan@clark.wa.gov

In our conversation this morning you asked me if I had submitted comments to the county. I indicated I had and you said you and Susan had not been able find them in the county record.

My comments were:

The GMA clearly states (as Judge Poyfair in his decision indicated) that land can not be designated as resource land unless it has "Long term commercial significance for that use".

Very little land in this county meets that test. One can not buy property for resource production at a price that meets a simple economic test. Therefore, to meet this GMA requirement most of the land currently classified as resource land should be reclassified as Rural. And the lot sizes should be consistent with those of other counties at the fringe of major metropolitan centers.

Would you please pass these comments along to the county and the county commissioners?

Jim

Sent from my iPad