From: Don McIsaac [mailto:donaldmcisaac@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 1:00 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver, Silliman, Peter
Cc: McCauley, Mark
Subject: Re Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Thanks, Oliver, I'm looking forward to talking with you on Thursday morning.

Peter, I am not aware of your internal protocols on scheduling work sessions and their respective agendas. I had presumed that Oliver or his shop might be in charge of doing that. However, it may be that this comes from your office, or someone in your section. If so, is there an open spot at 10 AM on Wednesday for a BOCC work session, and can you protect that agenda for a briefing/discussion on Alternative 4?

Thanks,

Don

Sent from my iPhone—please excuse any typos, dictation anomalies and improper "auto-corrects" that I don't see

Donald O McIsaac, Ph D

On Feb 3, 2015, at 10 38 AM, Orjiako, Oliver <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov> wrote

Good morning Don

Thanks for your email. We have a schedule and a public participation process which we have been following. Staff will adjust our schedule and timeline for completion of the 2016 plan update as soon as there is a decision/direction from the BOCC on a proposed 4th Alternative. There is a pause in the process per the BOCC directive at the January 21, 2015 work session until a new alternative 4 is developed.

I am reviewing your proposed schedule and process expectation and will share my thoughts when we meet on Thursday. We have a good working relationship with the Board’s Office and BOCC on scheduling work session related to the plan update. I am not aware of a February 11 work session. I am seeking PA’s council on the level of public involvement in the development of alternative 4 since it is outside of the SEIS Scoping process to date.
I need more information regarding your question on how a particular parcel were selected for Alternative 2 and not others. We will discuss this further on Thursday and also clarify your assertion that the public had not seen the staff recommended range of alternatives nor the content of alternative 2 prior to October 22. If you have questions, please call me.

Best Regards,

Oliver

From: DONALD MCISAA [mailto:donaldmcisaac@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 8:07 AM
To: Oruako, Oliver
Cc: McCauley, Mark, Silliman, Peter, Mielke, Tom, Stewart, Jeanne, Madore, David, Euler, Gordon
Subject: RE Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Oliver,

Thanks for the response. I presume a written description of how the particular parcels were selected for Alternative 2, and how others were not, can be forthcoming at some point—perhaps in the draft SEIS if not prior.

I agree with you on the need to engage the public at some point on the development of Alternative 4. In the Process and Schedule document sent in the earlier email, it would start with a briefing of the Councilors at a work session next Wednesday, February 11. While that would not be a decision-making meeting of the BOCC, it is a public meeting where the public would get first exposure to Alternative 4 ideas. After receiving guidance from the Councilors as to what should be put out for public comment on a draft Alternative 4, the proposed schedule calls for release of the draft Alternative 4 ideas by February 17 followed by a public hearing or town hall meeting on February 26.

I look forward to talking with you Thursday at 10 about the process and schedule. Please let me know if you have different thoughts on formal engagement of the public.

I meet with Peter on Thursday mid-day, where we hope to agree on Alternative 4 features and elements that could be analyzed in the SEIS, as per the January 21 work session direction.

If there are advance arrangements that need to be done to set the February 11 work session meeting agenda, please make room for to allow this.

Thanks,

Don

From: Oliver Oruako@clark wa.gov
To: donaldmcisaac@msn.com
CC: Mark McCauley@clark wa.gov, Peter Silliman@clark wa.gov, Tom Mielke@clark wa.gov, Jeanne Stewart@clark wa.gov, David Madore@clark wa.gov, Gordon Euler@clark wa.gov
Subject: RE Alternative 4 Process and Schedule
Date: Tue, 3 Feb 2015 01:03:07 +0000

2
Hello Don

Staff proposal is reduce the minimum parcel size from Rural-20 to R-10 to maintain buffering of resource land as required by GMA. We have identified areas that the proposal will apply and it is better to see that on a map. It appears that it will be a two-step process. We do not have a written document on the proposal. It is just that the idea is that if as proposed, the current AG-20 will become AG-10. A minimum parcel size of 10 acres. It will not require a Rural-20 buffer AG-10. Yes, I will put you down at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday. At some point we need to engage the public on the development of Alternative 4. Please, let me know if you have questions. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Oliver

From: DONALD MCISAAC [mailto:donaldmcisaac@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2015 9:11 AM
To: Orjako, Oliver
Cc: McCauley, Mark, Silliman, Peter, Mielke, Tom, Stewart, Jeanne, Madore, David
Subject: Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Oliver,

Thank you for the prompt response.

If Thursday, February 5 from 10-11 is still open on your calendar please pencil me in to meet at that time.

Thank you also for provided the written description detail on Alternative 2, this gives an idea of the detail threshold needed for Alternative 4 descriptions. Regarding the descriptions of the rural components of Alternative 2, there are references to changing the millennium lot size in "some" R areas, elsewhere there are references to A, F, and R changes "as appropriate." Is there anything in writing, as opposed to a map display, on how the "some" or "as appropriate" are described?

I committed to sending you my thoughts on further process and schedule beyond the current pause in the process to consider an alternative 4 for rural areas. Please see attached for further discussion when we meet.

Please advise on the acceptability of the Thursday meeting time,

Don

From: Oliver Orjako@clark wa gov
To: donaldmcisaac@msn.com
Cc: Mark McCauley@clark wa gov, david madore@usdigital.com, Tom Mielke@clark wa gov, Jeanne Stewart@clark wa gov, Peter Silliman@clark wa gov
Subject: OH_3 alternative_description.docx
Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2015 21:12:27 +0000
Hello Don
It was a pleasure meeting you on Thursday. Thank you for your follow-up email and request. Attached, please find the written narrative of the three alternatives that was made available to the public. I am still looking through our records to see if these documents are posted on our 2016 comp plan update web page. If not, I will make sure they are posted under “options and impacts” section of the same website.

In response to when we can meet, I am available to meet next week if these dates and time works for you. Monday, February 2, 2015, 11-12 and 4-5 p.m. On the 3rd, Tuesday 8-9 or 9-10 a.m. and 4-5 p.m. Wednesday 4th, 8-9 a.m. Thursday 5th, 10-11 a.m. or 4-5 p.m. On Friday 6th, 10-11 a.m. or 4-5 p.m. Please, my schedule fills up quickly so let me know as soon as possible. Thanks in advance and have a great weekend.

Best Regards,

Oliver

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.
Clark County 2015-2135 Comprehensive Management Plan Update - Remaining Process and Schedule

The process and schedule below is designed to accommodate consideration of a new alternative/option confined to rural area matters ("Alternative 4" for the purposes of this document), within the scope of the most recent EIS such that it can be included in the current SEIS process.

It is designed such that there will be public comment opportunity at the time of important BOCC decision points on SEIS alternatives, in a manner where the public has advance knowledge of the same information that will be presented to the BOCC for decision-making. This would include the decision point to adopt an additional alternative, to adopt a preferred alternative for public review, and final adoption of any changes to the GMP. For example, at the time of the October 22, 2014 BOCC Work Session, the public had not seen the staff-recommended range of alternatives, nor the content of Alternative 2, at the time of the BOCC decision point to go forward with Alternative 2 as the only rural option, nor had the BOCC seen it prior to the meeting they were to adopt it, nor did the BOCC hear any public testimony on Alternative 2 prior to it being considered adopted by the BOCC for analysis in the SEIS. Rather than that Work Session decision-making process model, the process and schedule below provides for:

- advance distribution of a draft Alternative 4, prior to BOCC consideration of adopting it for analysis in the SEIS, and public comment on draft Alternative 4 prior to a BOCC decision on adopting it;
- a staff briefing on the preliminary draft SEIS analysis of the various alternatives, with the opportunity for BOCC guidance on any additional analysis needed prior to formal release;
- advance distribution of the draft Final SEIS analysis prior to the BOCC decision to adopt a preferred alternative for public review and State evaluation;
- advance distribution of any staff recommendation for a preferred alternative, if any is developed, and public comment at the time of the BOCC decision to adopt a preferred alternative for public review, and
- advance distribution of the final decision document, including State evaluation comments, prior to the final decision by the BOCC prior to June, 2016, and the opportunity for public comment prior to the final BOCC vote to adopt final updates to the current Comprehensive Management Plan and associated zoning requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Process Expectation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| January 21, 2017 | BOCC Work Session | 1. Introduction of need for an additional Alternative/Option focused on rural areas  
2. Suspension of schedule under the condition that the June 2016 deadline remains as the administrative target |
| January 22 – February 17 | | 1. Staff develops a preliminary draft Alternative 4, with rural citizen input, for BOCC initial discussion at a February 11 Work Session  
2. Staff distributes a public-review draft Alternative 4 to the BOCC with notice of a hearing or “town hall” meeting on February 26 |
| February 11 | BOCC Work Session | 1. Staff presents a preliminary draft Alternative 4 for BOCC discussion  
2. BOCC provides guidance on completing a draft Alternative 4 for public review |
| February 17 | | 1. Staff completes a draft Alternative 4 for public review  
2. Staff distributes a draft Alternative 4 to the BOCC and the public |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Key Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 26</td>
<td>BOCC Hearing on draft SEIS</td>
<td>1. BOCC decision point on adopting an Alternative 4 for analysis in the draft SEIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. BOCC provides guidance on any points of analysis emphasis in the draft SEIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 25</td>
<td>BOCC Work Session on D-SEIS</td>
<td>1. Staff presentation of D-SEIS analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. BOCC guidance on any points of further analysis emphasis in the D-SEIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 9</td>
<td>Draft SEIS released</td>
<td>1. Public review of Draft SEIS begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>30 day comment period on the D-SEIS ends</td>
<td>1. Staff begins finalizing analysis of public comments received May 11 (Monday).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>BOCC Work Session</td>
<td>1. Staff presentation on comments received and staff response to comments on the D-SEIS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>BOCC Work Session</td>
<td>1. Staff presentation on Buildable Plans Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OLLER: shouldn't there be dates for those other key reports in the process, as described in slide 3 of the 1/21/15 power point? See below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>“Series of Work Sessions on Comp Plan and Title 40”</td>
<td>• Any expectations of decisions by the BOCC need to be clearly expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheduled</td>
<td></td>
<td>• If 4 months are not needed for this process, then the 2015 process below can be advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for this (in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/15 ppt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20</td>
<td>Draft Final SEIS released</td>
<td>1. Public review of SEIS analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Public review of any preferred alternative recommendation from the staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 20</td>
<td>30 day comment period ends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>BOCC Work Session</td>
<td>1. Staff presentation on response to comments received during the public comment period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. BOCC discussion of issues associated with Preferred Alternative selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>BOCC Hearing on final SEIS and Preferred Alternative Selection</td>
<td>3. BOCC decision to consider final SEIS analysis complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. BOCC takes public testimony on Preferred Alternative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. BOCC makes decision to adopt a Preferred Alternative for public review and State evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15,</td>
<td>Release of Final SEIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April, 2016</td>
<td>60 Day Commerce Notification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No later</td>
<td>BOCC Hearing</td>
<td>1. BOCC decision on final changes to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>than June,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>