

O'Donnell, Mary Beth



CP 16# 0507

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 1 30 PM
To: Euler, Gordon, O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Cc: Cook, Christine
Subject: FW Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

fyi

From: Don McIsaac [mailto:donaldmcisaac@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 1 00 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver, Silliman, Peter
Cc: McCauley, Mark
Subject: Re Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Thanks, Oliver, I'm looking forward to talking with you on Thursday morning

Peter, I am not aware of your internal protocols on scheduling work sessions and their respective agendas I had presumed that Oliver or his shop might be in charge of doing that However, It may be that this comes from your office, or someone in your section If so, is there an open spot at 10 AM on Wednesday for a BOCC work session, and can you protect that agenda for a briefing/discussion on Alternative 4?

Thanks,
Don

Sent from my iPhone--please excuse any typos, dictation anomalies and improper "auto-corrects" that I don't see

Donald O McIsaac, Ph D

On Feb 3, 2015, at 10 38 AM, Orjiako, Oliver <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov> wrote

Good morning Don

Thanks for your email We have a schedule and a public participation process which we have been following Staff will adjust our schedule and timeline for completion of the 2016 plan update as soon as there is a decision/direction from the BOCC on a proposed 4th Alternative There is a pause in the process per the BOCC directive at the January 21, 2015 work session until a new alternative 4 is developed

I am reviewing your proposed schedule and process expectation and will share my thoughts when we meet on Thursday We have a good working relationship with the Board's Office and BOCC on scheduling work session related to the plan update I am not aware of a February 11 work session I am seeking PA's council on the level of public involvement in the development of alternative 4 since it is outside of the SEIS Scoping process to date

I need more information regarding your question on how a particular parcel were selected for Alternative 2 and not others We will discuss this further on Thursday and also clarify your assertion that the public had not seen the staff recommended range of alternatives nor the content of alternative 2 prior to October 22 If you have questions, please call me

Best Regards,

Oliver

From: DONALD MCISAAC [<mailto:donalddmcisaac@msn.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 8 07 AM

To: Orjiako, Oliver

Cc: McCauley, Mark, Silliman, Peter, Mielke, Tom, Stewart, Jeanne, Madore, David, Euler, Gordon

Subject: RE Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Oliver,

Thanks for the response I presume a written description of how the particular parcels were selected for Alternative 2, and how others were not, can be forthcoming at some point-- perhaps in the draft SEIS if not prior

I agree with you on the need to engage the public at some point on the development of Alternative 4 In the Process and Schedule document sent in the earlier email, it would start with a briefing of the Councilors at a work session next Wednesday, February 11 While that would not be a decision-making meeting of the BOCC, it is a public meeting where the public would get first exposure to Alternative 4 ideas After receiving guidance from the Councilors as to what should be put out for public comment on a draft Alternative 4, the proposed schedule calls for release of the draft Alternative 4 ideas by February 17 followed by a public hearing or town hall meeting on February 26

I look forward to talking with you Thursday at 10 about the process and schedule Please let me know if you have different thoughts on formal engagement of the public

I meet with Peter on Thursday mid-day, where we hope to agree on Alternative 4 features and elements that could be analyzed in the SEIS, as per the January 21 work session direction

If there are advance arrangements that need to be done to set the February 11 work session meeting agenda, please make room for to allow this

Thanks,
Don

From [Oliver Orjiako@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov)

To donalddmcisaac@msn.com

CC [Mark McCauley@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Mark.McCauley@clark.wa.gov), [Peter Silliman@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Peter.Silliman@clark.wa.gov), [Tom Mielke@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Tom.Mielke@clark.wa.gov), [Jeanne Stewart@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Jeanne.Stewart@clark.wa.gov), [David Madore@clark.wa.gov](mailto:David.Madore@clark.wa.gov), [Gordon Euler@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Gordon.Euler@clark.wa.gov)

Subject RE Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Date Tue, 3 Feb 2015 01:03:07 +0000

Hello Don

Staff proposal is reduce the minimum parcel size from Rural- 20 to R-10 to maintain buffering of resource land as required by GMA We have identified areas that the proposal will apply and it is better to see that on a map It appears that it will be a two-step process We do not have a written document on the proposal It is just that The idea is that if as proposed, the current AG-20 will become AG-10 A minimum parcel size of 10 acres It will not require a Rural -20 to buffer AG-10 Yes, I will put you down at 10 00 a m on Thursday At some point we need to engage the public on the development of Alternative 4 Please, let me know if you have questions Thank you

Best Regards,

Oliver

From: DONALD MCISAAC [<mailto:donaldmcisaac@msn.com>]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2015 9 11 AM
To: Orjako, Oliver
Cc: McCauley, Mark, Silliman, Peter, Mielke, Tom, Stewart, Jeanne, Madore, David
Subject: Alternative 4 Process and Schedule

Oliver,

Thank you for the prompt response

If Thursday, February 5 from 10-11 is still open on your calendar please pencil me in to meet at that time

Thank you also for provided the written description detail on Alternative 2, this gives an idea of the detail threshold needed for Alternative 4 descriptions Regarding the descriptions of the rural components of Alternative 2, there are references to changing the millennium lot size in "some" R areas, elsewhere there are references to A, F, and R changes "as appropriate" Is there anything in writing, as opposed to a map display, on how the "some" or "as appropriate" are described?

I committed to sending you my thoughts on further process and schedule beyond the current pause in the process to consider an alternative 4 for rural areas Please see attached for further discussion when we meet

Please advise on the acceptability of the Thursday meeting time,
Don

From [Oliver Orjako@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Oliver.Orjako@clark.wa.gov)
To donaldmcisaac@msn.com
CC [Mark McCauley@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Mark.McCauley@clark.wa.gov), david.madore@usdigital.com, [Tom Mielke@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Tom.Mielke@clark.wa.gov),
[Jeanne Stewart@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Jeanne.Stewart@clark.wa.gov), [Peter Silliman@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Peter.Silliman@clark.wa.gov)
Subject OH_3 alterative_description.docx
Date Fri, 30 Jan 2015 21 12 27 +0000
Hello Don

It was a pleasure meeting you on Thursday Thank you for your follow-up email and request Attached, please find the written narrative of the three alternatives that was made available to the public I am still looking through our records to see if these documents are posted on our 2016 comp plan update web page If not I will make sure there are posted under "options and impacts" section of the same website

In response to when we can meet, I am available to meet next week if these dates and time works for you Monday, February 2, 2015 , 11-12 and 4-5 p m On the 3rd, Tuesday 8-9 or 9-10 00 a am and 4-5 p m Wednesday 4th, 8-9 00 a m Thursday 5, 10-11 a m or 4-5 p m On Friday 6th, 10-11 a m or 4-5 p m Please, my schedule fills up quickly so let me know as soon as possible Thanks in advance and have a great weekend

Best Regards,

Oliver

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law

Clark County 2015-2135 Comprehensive Management Plan Update· Remaining Process and Schedule

The process and schedule below is designed to accommodate consideration of a new alternative/option confined to rural area matters (“Alternative 4” for the purposes of this document), within the scope of the most recent EIS such that it can be included in the current SEIS process

It is designed such that there will be public comment opportunity at the time of important BOCC decision points on SEIS alternatives, in a manner where the public has advance knowledge of the same information that will be presented to the BOCC for decision-making This would include the decision point to adopt an additional alternative, to adopt a preferred alternative for public review, and final adoption of any changes to the GMP For example, at the time of the October 22, 2014 BOCC Work Session, the public had not seen the staff-recommended range of alternatives, nor the content of Alternative 2, at the time of the BOCC decision point to go forward with Alternative 2 as the only rural option, nor had the BOCC seen it prior to the meeting they were to adopt it, nor did the BOCC hear any public testimony on Alternative 2 prior to it being considered adopted by the BOCC for analysis in the SEIS Rather than that Work Session decision-making process model, the process and schedule below provides for

- advance distribution of a draft Alternative 4, prior to BOCC consideration of adopting it for analysis in the SEIS, and public comment on draft Alternative 4 prior to a BOCC decision on adopting it,
- a staff briefing on the preliminary draft SEIS analysis of the various alternatives, with the opportunity for BOCC guidance on any additional analysis needed prior to formal release,
- advance distribution of the draft Final SEIS analysis prior to the BOCC decision to adopt a preferred alternative for public review and State evaluation,
- advance distribution of any staff recommendation for a preferred alternative, if any is developed, and public comment at the time of the BOCC decision to adopt a preferred alternative for public review, and
- advance distribution of the final decision document, including State evaluation comments, prior to the final decision by the BOCC prior to June, 2016, and the opportunity for public comment prior to the final BOCC vote to adopt final updates to the current Comprehensive Management Plan and associated zoning requirements

Date	Event	Process Expectation
January 21, 2017	BOCC Work Session	1 Introduction of need for an additional Alternative/Option focused on rural areas 2 Suspension of schedule under the condition that the June 2016 deadline remains as the administrative target
January 22 – February 17		1 Staff develops a preliminary draft Alternative 4, with rural citizen input, for BOCC initial discussion at a February 11 Work Session 2 Staff distributes a public-review draft Alternative 4 to the BOCC with notice of a hearing or “town hall” meeting on February 26
February 11	BOCC Work Session	1 Staff presents a preliminary draft Alternative 4 for BOCC discussion 2 BOCC provides guidance on completing a draft Alternative 4 for public review
February 17		1 Staff completes a draft Alternative 4 for public review 2 Staff distributes a draft Alternative 4 to the BOCC and the public

February 26	BOCC Hearing on draft SEIS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BOCC decision point on adopting an Alternative 4 for analysis in the draft SEIS 2. BOCC provides guidance on any points of analysis emphasis in the draft SEIS
March 25	BOCC Work Session on D-SEIS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff presentation of D-SEIS analysis 2. BOCC guidance on any points of further analysis emphasis in the D-SEIS
April 9	Draft SEIS released	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public review of Draft SEIS begins
May 9	30 day comment period on the D-SEIS ends	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff begins finalizing analysis of public comments received May 11 (Monday).
May 20	BOCC Work Session	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff presentation on comments received and staff response to comments on the D-SEIS.
May 27	BOCC Work Session	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff presentation on Buildable Plans Report
		OLIVER: shouldn't there be dates for those other key reports in the process, as described in slide 3 of the 1/21/15 power point? See below.
4 months scheduled for this (in 1/21/15 ppt)	"Series of Work Sessions on Comp Plan and Title 40"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any expectations of decisions by the BOCC need to be clearly expressed • If 4 months are not needed for this process, then the 2015 process below can be advanced
September 20	Draft Final SEIS released	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public review of SEIS analysis. 2. Public review of any preferred alternative recommendation from the staff.
October 20	30 day comment period ends	
October 28	BOCC Work Session	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff presentation on response to comments received during the public comment period. 2. BOCC discussion of issues associated with Preferred Alternative selection
November 4	BOCC Hearing on final SEIS and Preferred Alternative Selection	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. BOCC decision to consider final SEIS analysis complete. 4. BOCC takes public testimony on Preferred Alternative. 5. BOCC makes decision to adopt a Preferred Alternative for public review and State evaluation.
November 15, 2015	Release of Final SEIS	
April, 2016	60 Day Commerce Notification	
No later than June, 2016	BOCC Hearing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BOCC decision on final changes to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning requirements.