

O'Donnell, Mary Beth

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 10:35 AM
To: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: FW: Adding Alternative 4 Option A maps for AG and FR Thursday

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



CP16#0702

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 10:34 AM
To: McCauley, Mark
Cc: Horne, Chris; Cook, Christine
Subject: RE: Adding Alternative 4 Option A maps for AG and FR Thursday

Hello Mark:

Thank you for the email. We have a problem with this request. Yes, Councilor Madore did inform me that he was working on another alternative because he did not go far enough. I told him no because we have not gone to hear a need for another version of AG and FR for Alternative 4. I did not hear that last night and you were there too. This request is inconsistent with normal process and unfair to citizen who may not come to the April open house and other who will hear that we have a different version of Alternative 4 for Agriculture and Forest. If the entire Board ask staff to do so, we will and document that among other directions from Councilor Madore.

Thanks.

Oliver

From: McCauley, Mark
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 9:22 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: FW: Adding Alternative 4 Option A maps for AG and FR Thursday

FYI

From: Madore, David
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:21 PM
To: McCauley, Mark
Subject: Adding Alternative 4 Option A maps for AG and FR Thursday

Mark,

Staff did a great job this evening! The Open House was a great success. Well done.

Because there were so many citizens seeking to share their feedback on Alternative Four, I ended up being one of the last persons to leave due to so many waiting their turn.

One word describes their response – enthusiastic. They are thankful that Alternative Four recognizes the preexisting smaller parcels. Yet, I repeatedly heard a request to reduce some of the larger parcels in the FR and AG maps to better reflect the smaller predominant sizes of the surrounding parcels.

In anticipation of that request, Option-A maps for FR and AG zones have already been posted on the K-Drive for that contingency. They are available for staff to include this added AG and FR option for the Hockinson Open House and ready to post on Thursday, March 26 on Planning's Comp Plan site and on the Grid.

If they are added to the March 11 entry, we ought to include a heading that says something like **“These maps were added March 26th”**. This would satisfy the best practices recommended by Chris Horn. Oliver is expecting that we would include these if the citizens asked for that option this evening. They certainly made their requests known to me.

I also learned tonight that rather than displaying six maps, that just the three with the current zoning overlay would provide better simplicity and avoid confusion. Tonight showed that those three (rather than six) provide the most useful format because they show the current and the newly proposed zones all at a glance. Adding the two Option-A maps to those three maps would be far better than have 10 different maps.

The Hockinson stations can place the original and the related Option-A maps side by side so citizens can compare the differences and share which AG and which FR map they prefer.

The metrics for the originals and the Option-A maps have also been added to the K-Drive.
K:\GIS\BOCC\Madore\RuralLands\Alt4\OpenHouse

Please let me know if I can be of any assistance and please express my gratitude to our wonderful staff that worked so hard to serve our citizens.

Thank you,