

O'Donnell, Mary Beth

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:37 PM
To: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: FW: Two legal lots for kysar - For the Public Record



Hi Mary Beth:

While I don't know why we are getting this, please index. Thanks.

Oliver

From: Madore, David
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: FW: Two legal lots for kysar - For the Public Record

FYI

From: Carol Levanen [<mailto:cnldental@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2015 12:13 AM
To: Silliman, Peter; Carol Levanen; Susan Rasmussen; Madore, David; Stewart, Jeanne; Mielke, Tom
Subject: Fw: Two legal lots for kysar - For the Public Record

Please see Documents - Image link # 17354400-1554 and # 13611446-1223

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Carol Levanen <cnldental@yahoo.com>
To: Silliman Peter <peter.silliman@clark.wa.gov>; Carol Levanen <cnldental@yahoo.com>; Susan Rasmussen <sprazz@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2015 12:04 AM
Subject: Two legal lots for kysar

Hello! I am satisfied that the Kysar family had two legal lots at the time of a legal lot determination. The county report references a boundary line adjustment, but that was never completed and legally filed. Until that happens, the two legal lots remain as such. Whether a home site was located on the two lots is a mute point, because the lots were legal when they were created. Just because both lots were put in a trust, does not negate their legality, either. As I read the narrative from county, it is obvious that staff was all over the board with their conclusions. One point they say there is cinebar loam soil, and the next they say it is streams, steep slopes and wetlands. There is a big argument over whether a home existed on the lots, but the lot is legal, home or no home. Vern Veysey proposed the combination of lots in the boundary adjust, but he did not complete the work. In all, the two historical tax lots remained in tact. Lots were not considered illegally created until after the moratorium in 1993, as long as they conformed to the zone, at the time. When these lots were created there was one acre zoning. The county was wrong in their determination that these two lots were actually one lot via a BLA, and they were wrong to determine them illegal, given the evidence otherwise. They are non-conforming to the zone, but, as Gordy would tell you, the county still recognizes them as legal buildable lots, so it doesn't matter if they are non-conforming.....or does it?
Best Regards, Carol

[PIC: Home Page](#)

PIC: Home Page

Property Information Center: Home Page

[View on gis.clark.wa.gov](#)

Preview by Yahoo