


# 2016 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Alternatives Analysis

*What are your thoughts on the 2016 Comprehensive Plan proposed alternatives analysis?*

All Statements sorted chronologically

As of September 2, 2015, 10:46 AM



*As with any public comment process, participation in Engage Clark County is voluntary. The statements in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.*

# 2016 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Alternatives Analysis

*What are your thoughts on the 2016 Comprehensive Plan proposed alternatives analysis?*

As of September 2, 2015, 10:46 AM, this forum had:

Attendees: 54

All Statements: 3

Minutes of Public Comment: 9

This topic started on August 4, 2015, 3:52 PM.

## 2016 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Alternatives Analysis

What are your thoughts on the 2016 Comprehensive Plan proposed alternatives analysis?

Name not available (unclaimed)

August 11, 2015, 7:17 AM

Well I certainly think Alternative four is not a good choice at all. It would certainly create rural sprawl. This is not a viable alternative in any way, shape, or form. There is not enough infrastructure to support it. Property owners may think they want it, but wait until it happens and see who the first people to complain are. You like your peace and wide open space don't you?....that will be all gone people....Really a bad bad idea...

---

Name not available (unclaimed)

August 6, 2015, 7:52 AM

Table the entire Plan until a THOROUGH study has been done on long term effects of URBAN SPRAWL in rural Clark County. (Environmental is only a small part of the total effect on the land and resources!)

---

Name not shown inside Clark County (on forum)

August 6, 2015, 7:15 AM

Alt 4 should be tossed. It was drafted by a non-union employee which is in violation of contracts. Otherwise Alt 2 appears to have a good balance and the biggest concern we have is ground water protection of small personal wells due to sprawl.

1 Supporter

---

**Schroader, Kathy**

---

**From:** Kamp, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:48 AM  
**To:** Schroader, Kathy  
**Subject:** FW: 2016 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Alternatives Analysis  
**Attachments:** index.pdf

**From:** Engage Clark County [<mailto:info@peakdemocracy.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:47 AM  
**To:** Kamp, Jacqueline  
**Subject:** 2016 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Alternatives Analysis

Please find your file attached.