

Schroader, Kathy



From Euler, Gordon
Sent Friday, September 11, 2015 8 26 AM
To Orjiako, Oliver
Cc Schroader, Kathy
Subject FW For the record from Nathan Ek

Follow Up Flag Follow up
Flag Status Flagged

Oliver

Interesting

Kathy—for the index

Gordy

From Stewart, Jeanne
Sent Thursday, September 10, 2015 5 51 PM
To 'Nathan Ek'
Cc Stewart, Jeanne, Euler, Gordon
Subject RE For the record from Nathan Ek

Dear Mr Ek,

Thank you for the email, and yes, I distinctly remember you and a very productive conversation

It is unclear to me why Planning staff did not include the FR80 issue and that feedback from property owners in creation of the options

I am forwarding your email to them to include into the record and for consideration

Thank you for letting me know about the issue

Best regards,
Jeanne E Stewart, Councilor
Clark County Council

From Nathan Ek [<mailto:nathan@ekengineering.net>]
Sent Thursday, September 10, 2015 4 09 PM
To Stewart, Jeanne
Subject For the record from Nathan Ek

Dear Commissioner Stewart,

It was a pleasure to meet you at the open house at Ridgefield You may remember we discussed my Grandpa and the history of my family at Battle Ground Lake, as well as my Dad's involvement with sponsoring the salmon creek site for WSU

We also briefly touched on my property in the Yacolt Mountain area. The planning staff apparently decided on their own to exclude the areas zoned as FR80 when collecting feedback from property owners. We, too as property owners feel we should have a say in our futures.

I would like to go on record as supporting Alternative 4 proposal of the comprehensive plan update.

Specifically, I own tax parcel # 230480-000 in the Yacolt Mountain area, and am in favor of changing the lot size to reflect FR10 zoning. Our property and a few others are proposed to be spot zoned on the current alternative 4 as FR40, which does not come close to undoing the wrong created when the zoning was changed from 5 acre lots prior to 1994. We all would like to be zoned similarly to those properties north and South of us which are proposed as FR10.

There are many technical justifications supporting this, including the now present public water at the property, as well as good county road access, etc. We also are surrounded on the south and west sides by 5 acre residential zoning. We understand that it may be difficult to get to 5 acre zoning, but as a second alternative, we wish to be included in the FR10 zoned areas.

Please consider the wishes of myself and my surrounding property owners when finalizing the alternative 4 map.

Thank you,

Nathan Ek
35006 NE 178th Ave
Yacolt, WA 98675