

**Schroader, Kathy**



**From:** Janine Hook <janine@hookedonclarkcounty.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 15, 2015 7:11 PM  
**To:** Cnty 2016 Comp Plan  
**Subject:** I'm against Alternative 4

Although it would help some of my clients by enabling them to divide their larger parcels of land, it's bad for Clark County in the long run. It would cut down on our local organic farming due to the higher income to be earned from more and smaller parcels being sold. It would encourage the expansion of sewer and public water to far areas of the county, which is expensive, and the cost usually falls mostly on existing taxpayers, not on the developers and builders and landowners who benefit the most from the additional buildable land.

There will be more roads to take care of, also mostly costly to the existing taxpayers, as road impact fees never cover the cost to build the roads. And it will overcrowd our schools, and cost more to build new ones, again mostly on the shoulders of existing taxpayers, as school impact fees don't cover the total cost either.

Finally, it cuts down on the beauty and recreation value of being able to drive through rural areas, one of the reasons people like to come here to live instead of to Portland or Beaverton.

Thanks,

*Janine*

Janine L. Hook, J.D., CRS, GRI, ABR, e-PRO certified, SRES, EcoBroker  
Keller Williams Premier Partners

Tel: 360-600-1050 Fax: 866-596-2661

<mailto:janine@hookedonclarkcounty.com>

[www.VancouverWashingtonHomes.com](http://www.VancouverWashingtonHomes.com)

Follow me on Twitter or Facebook  
<http://Twitter.com/JanineLHook>  
[www.facebook.com/janine.hook.92](http://www.facebook.com/janine.hook.92)