Schroader, Kathy

From: susan rasmussen <sprazz@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 6 24 PM
To: Cnty 2016 Comp Plan
Subject: FW very different views of interpretation, elevates status of reports

For the Public Record of the Clark County 2916 Comprehensive Plan Update

Sent from Windows Mail

From: Oriako, Oliver
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 5 00 PM
To: susan rasmussen
Cc: mark.mccauley@clark wa.gov

Hello Susan

Thank you for your email. I just want to make sure there is no misunderstanding in “not to include as a county-wide planning policy” and incorporate into the comp plan. The link of the June 5, 2012 hearing I provided clearly indicate an approval of the plan by the three commissioners and a directive to incorporate into the comp plan. Thank you.

Best,

Oliver

From: susan rasmussen [mailto:sprazz@outlook.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:52 AM
To: Oriako, Oliver
Cc: Mccauley, Mark
Subject: Re: very different views of interpretation

Hello Oliver,

I see that we have two very different interpretations of the same testimonies from the work session conducted on July 15, 2015. After listening to the Board of Clark County Commissioners hearing on June 5, 2012, (regarding the Growing Healthier report), I now believe that Gordy is misunderstanding the status of the report.

6/5/12, Chairman Boldt intentionally chooses his words and says, “Approve to move forward, not adopting” “Moving it forward to other processes”

At the July 15, 2015 work session, Gordy Euler staunchly defends the report and identifies the report as being previously adopted, “Adopted by the Board already as policy.” I believe this is a misinterpretation and incorrectly elevates the status from approved.

Is there a chance there is another hearing where the reports were formally adopted into the Countywide Framework Plan as policies and I am missing that testimony?
The present sitting Board of Councilors unanimously agreed to not amend present policies 7/15/15 Chairman Madore, “I assume that we have consensus here that we do not want to proceed on this” Councilor Stewart, “They won’t be discussing this item at all, is that correct?” (meaning the Planning Commission) Gordy Euler, “Not interested in proceeding with this”

Oliver, you are correct in saying that the two policies written in the reports are not in the present comprehensive plan. However, I find it concerning that you write; “There is no direction not to incorporate the growing healthier report or the aging readiness plan where appropriate in the comp plan That decision will be made in a public hearing when the plan text with policies, strategies, and implementation tools are presented.”

At the work session of July 15, 2015, the Board of County Councilors deliberated at length. Each Councilor very eloquently stated her or his reasons. The Board unanimously agreed on the directives to staff (Gordy, Chris Cook, and Mark) Is there something about this that I’m not understanding?

Thank you for considering my view,
Susan Rasmussen

Sent from Windows Mail

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 4 43 PM
To: susan rasmussen
Cc: Euler, Gordon, Alvarez, Jose, Anderson, Colete, Schroader, Kathy

Hello Susan

Thank you for your email to the councilor’s that you also sent to me. I have reviewed the work session audio. Yes, the councilor’s directed that the two proposed county-wide planning policies (one on aging readiness plan and the other on growing healthier report) not be included. A review of the county-wide planning policies will show that the said two policies are not included. There is no direction not to incorporate the growing healthier report or the aging readiness plan where appropriate in the comp plan. That decision will be made in a public hearing when the plan text with policies, strategies, and implementation tools are presented. Thank you

Best,
Oliver

File

---

From: susan rasmussen [mailto:sprazz@outlook.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 3.04 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: Fw: Work session comments of the Commissioners 7/15
Sent from Windows Mail

From: susan rasmussen
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 3:03 PM
To: jeanne.stewart@clark.wa.gov, tom.mielke@clark.wa.gov, david.madore@clark.wa.gov
Cc: mark.mccauley@clark.wa.gov

Dear Councilors,

During the work session with the planners 7/15/15, there was a consensus to not include the “Growing Healthier,” and the “Aging Readiness” reports in this comprehensive plan update

In the audio recording of the work session at time #41 40 Chairman Madore says, “It appears that we have a consensus here to abandon this push and for us to continue on the path that we’ve already established”

Recorded at #42 40, Commissioner Stewart says, “Yes, we have a consensus. No reason to amend policies”

Recorded at #56 47, Chairman Madore says, “I assume that we have consensus here that we do not want to proceed on this”

Recorded at #56 52, Gordy Euler says, “That’s how I’m reading this”

Recorded at #57 06, Commissioner Stewart asks, “They won’t be discussing this item at all, is that correct?” (meaning the Planning Commission)

Recorded at #57 11, Gordy Euler responds, “Not interested in proceeding with this”

During a public hearing by a previous Board of Commissioners, 6/5/2012, a discussion ensued over the adoption of the “Growing Healthier Report.” Commissioner Steve Stuart moved to approve the report as amended, “working to weave the goals, policies, and objectives into the plan.” “Approve to move it forward, not adopting.” It passed unanimously. It was not intended as a stand alone document. It was approved to move forward as a foundational document for the health element.

As long as these reports remain listed as resource documents, the county comprehensive plan may be vulnerable to what the contents of these reports subscribe. It may be beneficial to remove them entirely via a consent agenda item. Chris Cook comments in the 7/15/15 work session that it is this Board’s prerogative as policy makers to make your intent.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Rasmussen
Sent from Windows Mail

From: susan rasmussen [mailto:sprazz@outlook.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2015 8:08 AM
To: cnlidental@yahoo.com, Stewart, Jeanne; Mielke, Tom; Madore, David
Subject: Economic Development | Community Development | City of Lacey, Washington, USA

doing business in Lacey promoted on their web site
Economic Development | Community Development | City of Lacey, Washington, USA


Sent from Windows Mail

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.
This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.
This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.