

**Schroader, Kathy**

---



**From:** Orjiako, Oliver  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:45 PM  
**To:** 'Lynn Carman'; Alvarez, Jose; Euler, Gordon; Schroader, Kathy  
**Cc:** kaitlin.gillespie@columbian.com  
**Subject:** RE: Latest Email on the growth plan??

Hello Lynn:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your email and inquiry. No, the RILB is not a push for alternative 4 and it is not a done deal. The RILB project was initiated by an application by Mr. Dennis Lagler for the county to designate rural industrial land bank as required by GMA under RCW 36.70A.367. The section of the RCW dealing with RILB has its own timeline and requirements and the county is following that. Please, feel free to call me or Gordy Euler if you have additional questions. Thank you.

Best,

Oliver

---

**From:** Lynn Carman [<mailto:lynn.carman@comcast.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 07, 2015 12:40 PM  
**To:** Orjiako, Oliver  
**Cc:** [kaitlin.gillespie@columbian.com](mailto:kaitlin.gillespie@columbian.com)  
**Subject:** Latest Email on the growth plan??

Oliver:

I'm confused here and in hopes that you can help. The RILB hearing is after this 20<sup>th</sup> hearing if my memory serves me from this am other email on it?  
Or should I call this a Clark County Done Deal?? There is the appearance #4 it moving foreward.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Carman