

Schroader, Kathy



From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:13 AM
To: Schroader, Kathy,
Subject: FW: Documents for the open house

For the record. Thanks

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 3:52 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: RE: Documents for the open house

Oliver,

I am thankful that Prosecuting Attorney Christine Cook is included in these communications. I can rest assured that everyone is tuned in and that no changes are recommended for the materials or the processes at this point.

Please send a copy of the draft agenda for the open houses. As with our joint work session, we want to maximize the effectiveness of these events.

I am guessing that the agenda will be something like this:

- * welcome and introduction by staff - a look at the calendar as you did in our work session
- * Inform citizens that paper copies are available of all materials on a table
- * Please share how citizens can share their feedback
- * Introduction to the proposed assumptions and revised Alt-4 Maps & Alt-1 map(s)
- * Q & A
- * Floor time - looking at the materials up close while we all engage in conversations
- * Wrap up

I am flexible and I welcome your recommendations to make the agenda better.

Thank you,

David

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 2:19 PM
To: Madore, David
Cc: McCauley, Mark; Cook, Christine; Horne, Chris; Euler, Gordon
Subject: RE: Documents for the open house

Hell Councilor

We got your email. Just walked in my office. Staff will work with these as provided. Thanks

Best,

Oliver

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 2:12 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: Documents for the open house

Oliver,

I left you a message to talk via phone. My cell is 360-601-3056

If you are in agreement that the updates that you now have are appropriate, then I am good to go. If you think we should change anything, please let me know. It is important that not only what we do follows the appropriate process, but that how we do it also is appropriate. I look to you to ensure that we all fulfill all expected requirements.

Do you recommend that we include the following content?

- * Alternative 1 map(s)
- * The revised 4 maps that we are proposing
- * Tables 1 and 2 of the Planning Assumptions Choices document (rev 1 07)
- * Graph 1 of the Planning Assumption Choices document (rev 1 07)

I assume that you can improve the appearance of these (or tailor them for clarity) and blow them up to large poster board size like the maps

It may be good to also have normal 8.5 x 11 paper copies of the Planning Assumption Choices documents printed up for those who want more detail.

Do you recommend any other materials?

I welcome your feedback

Thank you,

David

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 1:40 PM
To: Madore, David
Cc: Cook, Christine; Horne, Chris; McCauley, Mark; Euler, Gordon
Subject: RE: RE:

Hello Councilor

When you give your approval staff will prepare documents for the open house. Thanks

Oliver

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 1:30 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: RE:

Oliver,

The attached file includes table numbers, page numbers, removes the original reference 4 from the population history table so the old reference 5 is not reference 4.

The population capacity graph has been updated to show the single proposed rural population forecast (which is the original lower forecast). A copy of that updated Excel file is also attached.

I send this to you now to incrementally move toward the finish line so you have more tangible substance to work with. I will now examine the database to see if that can be a useful source of evidence for the "never to convert" deduction history. If so, I may add that evidence to this assumptions file. I should know shortly.

Thank you,

David

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 12:22 PM
To: Madore, David
Subject: RE: RE:

Hello Councilor

You are most welcome We look forward to your response

Oliver

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 9:38 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: Re: RE:

Oliver,

Thanks for the quick feedback. I will respond in a bit

David Madore

On Nov 13, 2015, at 9:34 AM, Orjiako, Oliver <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov> wrote

Good morning Councilor

Staff got your refined and simplified documents. Is this the version you want made available at the open house?

The following are staff feedback/assumptions

- On item 4 relating to the percent of parcels with existing homes that will not further divide, it is reasonable to assume that some percent of lots with homes will not further be divided. The only information we have that will apply to the rural area is the rural census preference survey. In

that survey about 27% of the respondent indicated that they do not want smaller parcel sizes
This was only focused on agriculture and forest property owners

- Is our understanding that you want to use reference number 5 If that is the case it changes the 86/14 to 87 5/12 5 as indicated in item number 2 table 2 of the planning assumption
- There is an error in reference number 4 It appears that the 448,845 should be 447,865 to be consistent in your calculation section Similarly the 583,486 in the table should be 583,436
- It appears that you now changed to 582,092 instead of the 580,799
- Any number in excess of the 577,431 will require more land for jobs If the Board approves 582,092 and keeps the 1:1 jobs ratio to household we will need more land for jobs.
- It will be helpful to include page numbers in the document.

Depending on the action of the Board we will need a resolution that amends previous resolutions on planning assumptions after a public hearing If you have further questions, please let me know

Best,

Oliver

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 1:10 AM
To: Orjako, Oliver; Madore, David
Subject:

Oliver,

The attached Word file was refined and simplified so the basic tables and graphs can be simply understood by the citizens at the open houses.

We can omit the detailed source notes for the open houses. In particular, tables 1 and 2 and the population graphic should be most helpful.

As you can see, I sorted through all the population related history to arrive at these definitions. The Excel file is also attached from access to the components.

I welcome your feedback.

Thank you,

David