This does not exist – except here it is:

What should we do if the basis for discrediting and killing Alternative 4 turns out to be bogus?

The Planning staff and complicit Prosecuting Attorney have gone to great lengths to adamantly explain that the software tool (the RVBLM) that they covertly used to erroneously inflate the numbers that advocated against Alternative 4, does not exist.

RVBLM is short for the "Rural Vacant Buildable Lands Model" and it is a very powerful tool. That tool has been grossly inflating the most important numbers before they were presented to the elected county representatives as facts. It's been happening for 22 years.

That RVBLM was finally decoded and the rules they used to inflate the Comp Plan numbers were finally discovered and made public as Column A of table 1 published here: https://www.clark.wa.gov/.../the-g.../112415_rev109_ExhibitA.pdf

The Thorpe Report was supposed to analyze them. But instead, the Planning staff and Prosecuting Attorney went to great lengths to deny that the RVBLM existed and that they did not use the Column A rules. You can hear their adamant denials here: http://www.cvtv.org/vid_link/17885?start=9835&stop=14101

The behavior is so bizarre, that it's hard to believe because the denial of the truth so blatant. The planning department has been employing the "nonexistent" tool and "imagined" Column A Planning Assumptions for decades to skew the facts that stripped rural property owners of their private property rights.

The RVBLM looks unintelligible to non-software people. But this image is a snapshot of the tool that they used: http://davidmadore.com/.../Rural-Vacant-Buildable-Lands-Model...

The Microsoft Excel version of the tool can be downloaded here: http://davidmadore.com/.../Rural-Vacant-Buildable-Lands-Model...

Of course it exists. They used it to inflate the numbers. And Column A of Table 1 reveals the rules they used in that software that skewed the numbers.

What's even more bizarre, is that the Planning staff and complicit Prosecuting Attorney in the January 13 work session told a very different story. When I get the time, I will cue up that portion of the audio recording. In the meantime, the whole (very long) recording is here: https://www.clark.wa.gov/sites/.../files/the-grid/011316WS.mp3

In that meeting, they acknowledged that they used the tool as documented in Column A. But they went to great lengths in that meeting to assure us that all of these were approved by us and by all previous county commissioners. That story is what triggered the immediate halt of Alternative 4 in that meeting.

I assure you that both stories that conflict with each other are completely false. I know it and they know it. I am convinced these blatant lies will be made plain when the citizens defend their rights in court.
Terence Jeffries, Steven Wallace, Kirk VanGelder and 21 others
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Don Railsback Who is the complicit prosecuting attorney?
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David Madore Christine Cook is our land use attorney.
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LilAnne Pierson Every one that reads this needs to share it. I hope you don't mind, but I copy/pasted it to the comments section of that biased one sided Columbian. I'm sure it will be deleted.
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Karen Swanson Krajewski Rather than waste your time posting this on FB, why aren't you drafting paperwork to send to the State Attorneys General? Sounds like you need to contact the authorities and have these people arrested. I'll be watching this very closely.
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David Madore Karen Swanson Krajewski, if this news story wastes your time, then logically, it would be better for you to spend your time doing something else. On the other hand, this is your government. Perhaps you should do as you suggest.
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Karen Swanson Krajewski I was just wondering why you haven't filed paperwork with the authorities before even posting this to FB.
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David Madore Karen Swanson Krajewski, the methodical exposure of corruption is a time consuming process that involves pursuing leads, figuring out patterns, documenting them with the original records, and sharing the story with the community. It's called investigative journalism. And your response is to complain about the reporter? Care to answer the question?
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Neil Leitner David, Karen was not wasting HER time - her post wondered why you were wasting yours. As I read through this string dealing with amazingly complex (to the layman) issue, it seemed to me that what you were accusing the planners of doing seemed illegal. To be equitable, you could have had the planners justify their position and given some of your newspaper space to their justification or reported to the readership that you were laying the groundwork to pursue this issue through legal means.
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Write a reply...
David Madore RCW 9A.76.175

Making a false or misleading statement to a public servant.

A person who knowingly makes a false or misleading material statement to a public servant is guilty of a gross misdemeanor. "Material statement" means a written or oral statement reasonably likely to be relied upon by a public servant in the discharge of his or her official powers or duties.

http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=9A.76.175

RCW 9A.76.175: Making a false or misleading statement to a public servant.
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David Clark Good to see the lies and corruption in the staff is starting to be exposed.
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