



David Madore

10 hrs ·

A puzzle piece solved tonight:

I've been scratching my head trying to figure out why our Prosecuting Attorney's (PA) office and Planning staff would go to such extreme measures denying and distancing themselves from a RVBLM software tool they've been using to inflate the numbers reported for our Comp Plan.

The revelation was provided at our public hearing this evening. Our PA revealed that Judge Poyfair, in the 1994 case that rural citizens won against the county, ruled that the county could not use the RVBLM because of erroneous results. I did not know that.

Well. Guess what they've been using ever since? Yes, the RVBLM to produce erroneous results. Marc Boldt stated tonight that there is no RVBLM and we did not use it for this plan. Our PA this evening said that "technically" we're not really using the RVBLM.

Yet, there it is on line 15 of the resolution they approved this evening: https://www.clark.wa.gov/.../f.../the-grid/d_030116_RES_2016.pdf

There is nothing "technically" about it. The RVBLM has been THE tool used to report all of the numbers dealing with rural lots. So the charade goes on. But now we understand why they cannot admit the truth. Doing so would be a clear admission that they are violating the judge's order not to use it.

On a sad note, rather than the PA and Planning staff holding themselves accountable this evening. The PA threw our fabulous truth telling stellar GIS staff under the bus by blaming GIS for mistakes. The PA misrepresented the charges against the PA and Planning staff as being against our wonderful GIS staff. That is such an injustice. GIS is completely above reproach.

The three liberal councilors voted not to pursue the truth. No investigation is to be allowed.

No problem. These shenanigans strengthen the citizens' case. The truth has a way of being revealed.

My grandpa had a great saying: "It will all come out in the wash."

Here's the video of tonight's meeting: http://www.cvtv.org/vid_link/17909?start=159&stop=7308

The mistake the PA blamed on GIS has nothing to do with the misrepresentation by the PA or the Planning staff.



Like Comment Share

Philip Wheeler, Barry Wells, Michael Venne and 37 others

Chronological

11 shares



Beth Learn Thanks for your reporting!

Like · Reply · 3 · 9 hrs



Brian J. Rohan Always appreciate a level headed media report.

Like · Reply · 2 · 9 hrs



David Madore Please understand that I periodically add this notice to my posts because the rascals are doing whatever they can to take control of this page.

“Then you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free.” – Jesus said that in John 8:32

Freedom of the Press reminder:

Note that this Facebook page is my own digital newspaper and I post this content as a citizen non-credentialed nonprofessional journalist / reporter. The county does not own or control this page. If you want to make and preserve a public record about this post or any comments, you may email that content to the county because this content may not always be available here.

This page is an expression of my First Amendment Right to Freedom of Speech. As a citizen, I’ve been posting community news since 2011. This is not county business, nor is this online news media to be confused with other roles associated with a citizen representative.

I believe that we each have the responsibility to speak the truth and to expose corruption. The same way that our bodies need red blood cells to provide nourishment and white blood cells to identify and defend us from harm, so we are to speak the truth and defend against deception and exploitation.



Like · Reply · 7 · 9 hrs · Edited



Tom Hill Thanx for the report

Like · Reply · 3 · 9 hrs



Bret Bucher What ever happened to the basic fact that Americans are subjected to the Constitution?

Like · Reply · 1 · 9 hrs



Jeff Geisler One REVEAL at a time David! The Hard Way is just that but when the TRUTH PENDULUM starts swinging back it will be awesome! Just know you are not alone

Like · Reply · 4 · 9 hrs



Joshua Girod What does RVBLM stand for?

Like · Reply · 9 hrs



David Madore Joshua Girod, you can see the “nonexistent” RVBLM spelled out in the resolution they approved this evening: the Rural Vacant Buildable Lands Model.

I’m a software guy that used it extensively and built a parallel version in a different language (FoxPro). I confirmed that it closely matches the RVBLM that the Planning staff has directed GIS to use all this time.

The reverse engineering of that software tool is the means I used to discover the Planning staff’s covert Planning Assumptions posted as Column A of Table 1 (rules they used to erroneously inflate the Comp Plan numbers.)

https://www.clark.wa.gov/.../112415_rev109_ExhibitA.pdf

See yesterday’s post to learn more.

Like · Reply · 2 · 9 hrs · Edited



Lance McDaniel I thought the final release of FoxPro was in 2007?

Like · Reply · 1 · 8 hrs



Write a reply...



David Madore Joshua Girod, you can see the “nonexistent” RVBLM spelled out in the resolution they approved this evening: the Rural Vacant Buildable Lands Model.

See yesterday’s post to learn more.

Like · Reply · 2 · 9 hrs · Edited



Phil Haggerty This would be the same PA that has demonstrated contempt to you and Tom. I see, I wonder if she will be held responsible for not protecting the council from itself when the law suit comes?

Like · Reply · 1 · 8 hrs



Matthew Wilson Is this Chris Cook?

Like · Reply · 8 hrs



David Madore Chris Cook is normally the PA that handles the Comp Plan. Tonight, Chris Horne addressed this issue.

Like · Reply · 1 · 8 hrs



Anna Miller Note to staff: "When you find yourself in a hole, stop digging." Will Rogers

Like · Reply · 1 · 8 hrs



David Madore Thanks to James Barber for emailing this to me:

(c) Citizen Complaints. Any person wishing to institute a criminal action alleging a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor shall appear before a judge empowered to commit persons charged with offenses against the State, other than a judge pro tem. The judge may require the appearance to be made on the record, and under oath.

The judge may consider any allegations on the basis of an affidavit sworn to before the judge.

The court may also grant an opportunity at said hearing for evidence to be given by the county prosecuting attorney or deputy, the potential defendant or attorney of record, law enforcement or other potential witnesses. The court may also require the presence of other potential witnesses.

In addition to probable cause, the court may consider:

- (1) Whether an unsuccessful prosecution will subject the State to costs or damage claims under RCW 9A.16.110, or other civil proceedings;
- (2) Whether the complainant has adequate recourse under laws governing small claims suits, anti-harassment petitions or other civil actions;
- (3) Whether a criminal investigation is pending;
- (4) Whether other criminal charges could be disrupted by allowing the citizen complaint to be filed;
- (5) The availability of witnesses at trial;
- (6) The criminal record of the complainant, potential defendant and potential witnesses, and whether any have been convicted of crimes of dishonesty as defined by ER 609; and
- (7) Prosecution standards under RCW 9.94A.440.

If the judge is satisfied that probable cause exists, and factors (1) through (7) justify filing charges, and that the complaining witness is aware of the gravity of initiating a criminal complaint, of the necessity of a court appearance or appearances for himself or herself and witnesses, of the possible liability for false arrest and of the consequences of perjury, the judge may authorize the citizen to sign and file a complaint in the form prescribed in CrRLJ 2.1(a). The affidavit may be in substantially the following form:

[https://www.courts.wa.gov/court_rules/...](https://www.courts.wa.gov/court_rules/)

Washington State Courts - Court Rules

COURTS.WA.GOV

Like · Reply · 1 · 8 hrs · Edited



Bret Bucher The basic pretense with a court action such as a restraining order, pretty much makes logical sense, and no doubt a Due Process of Law, as we are protected by the Constitution that is Permissible with "individual freedom" regardless of Plaintiff, regardless of anyone who seeks justice, plain and simple wrong!

Like · Reply · 8 hrs



Write a reply...