

**Schroader, Kathy**



**From:** Orjiako, Oliver  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 2:44 PM  
**To:** Albrecht, Gary, Alvarez, Jose, Anderson, Colete, Euler, Gordon, Hermen, Matt; Kamp, Jacqueline, Lebowsky, Laurie, Lumbantobing, Sharon, Orjiako, Oliver, Schroader, Kathy, Wiser, Sonja  
**Subject:** FW: MRSC GMA Info

FYI and for the record Thanks

---

**From:** Boldt, Marc  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:25 PM  
**To:** Orjiako, Oliver  
**Subject:** FW: MRSC GMA Info

**From:** Jeff Wriston [<mailto:jeffwriston@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2016 12:44 PM  
**To:** Jamie Howsley; Marc Boldt; Boldt, Marc  
**Subject:** MRSC GMA Info

Food for thought. This is obviously general, and GMA provisions may contain more specificity, haven't looked yet. Certainly seems more than doable. See below.

#### Deadlines

All cities and counties are expected to have their comprehensive plans and development regulations (including critical areas ordinances) updated by June 30 of their deadline year (see schedule above). If a jurisdiction needs additional time to complete the update, the Department of Commerce recommends the jurisdiction pass a resolution that indicates: (1) the efforts that have been made toward updating the comp plan; (2) the specific reasons why additional time is needed; and (3) an expected date of completion.

#### Examples

Mercer Island Resolution No. 1500 (2015) – Explains that during the comp plan update process, the city also undertook a town center visioning process. The extension is intended to allow these two processes to happen concurrently so that appropriate policies reflect the town center work.

Seattle Resolution No. 31597 (2015) – Explains that an appeal of a determination of non-significance (DNS) by the city's hearing examiner required a revised DNS and more time for public input and future appeals, so they were unable to adopt by June 30.