

Schroader, Kathy



From: Tilton, Rebecca
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 12:37 PM
To: Schroader, Kathy
Subject: Comments from 5/10/16 for record (Heidi Owens)
Attachments: 051016_CompPlanComments_Heidi_Owens.pdf

Importance: High

Hi Kathy,

Here's what Heidi Owens submitted this morning re comp plan

Rebecca

May 10, 2016
Heidi Owens

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN RECORD

Resolution 2015-04-05
Planning Assumptions

Planned Population Growth: 129,546
Assumed Annual Growth Rate: 1.12%
Urban/Rural Pop. Growth Split: 90/10
No. of Needed Rural Parcels: 12,955

Board Principles and Values

Rural Lands:
Minimize the conversion of productive farmland – those lands which have long-term commercial agricultural viability. It is being used today for commercial agriculture?

Revised: Resolution 2016-03-01

Planned Population Growth: 128,586
Assumed Annual Growth Rate: 1.26%
Urban/Rural Pop. Growth Split: 90/10
No. of Needed Rural Parcels: 12,859

12,859 RURAL GROWTH RATE
A 2.66 persons/household =
4,835 PROJECTED PARCEL NEED

What is the number of new parcel Homesites available under the preferred alternative? **8024 new parcels**

RESULT? **3189 more parcels than needed.**

985 more parcels than existing conditions, effecting 5670 acres of Agriculture Land.

QUESTION? If current 2007 Comprehensive Plan is in compliance with GMA, how does this board justify an additional 985 rural parcels when the potential rural parcels currently exceeds the needs for projected growth?

How will you justify this?

What will it cost taxpayers to defend this?