Schroader, Kathy

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 9:08 AM
To: Albrecht, Gary; Alvarez, Jose; Anderson, Colete; Euler, Gordon; Hermen, Matt; Kamp, Jacqueline; Lebowsky, Laurie; Lumbantobing, Sharon; Orjiako, Oiiver; Schroader, Kathy; Wiser, Sonja
Subject: FW: Error on slide yesterday
Attachments: Comp Plan slides.pptx; Comp Plan May 11 slide.pptx

FYI and for the record Thanks

_________________________________________________________
From: Tilton, Rebecca
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 9:05 AM
To: Boldt, Marc; Stewart, Jeanne; Olson, Julie (Counselor); Madore, David; Mielke, Tom; Orjiako, Oliver; Schroader, Kathy
Subject: FW: Error on slide yesterday

Hello,

Please see the below message from Heidi Owens pertaining to the handout she submitted at the May 10 public comment portion of the council meeting

I've added her corrected handout to the record

Thanks,
Rebecca

_________________________________________________________
From: Heidi Owens [mailto:heidi.owens@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 8:59 AM
To: Tilton, Rebecca
Subject: Error on slide yesterday

Hi Rebecca,

There was an error on the handout I submitted yesterday

Attached are 2 files, the first is a copy of yesterday's handout noting that it had an error and was resubmitted to the record

The second is the corrected slide. Is there anything else I should do to correct the record?

I will have written comments and another slide for the record on Friday

Thank you so much!

Heidi Owens
Resolution 2015-04-05
Planning Assumptions

Planned Population Growth: 129,546
Assumed Annual Growth Rate: 1.12%
Urban/Rural Pop. Growth Split: 90/10
No. of Needed Rural Parcels: 12,955

Board Principles and Values

Rural Lands:
Minimize the conversion of productive farmland – those lands which have long-term commercial agricultural viability. Is it being used today for commercial agriculture?

Revised: Resolution 2016-03-01

12,859 RURAL GROWTH RATE
A 2.66 persons/household = 4,835 PROJECTED PARCEL NEED

What is the number of new parcel Homesites available under the preferred alternative?

RESULT? 8024 new parcels

312 more parcels than needed.
985 more parcels than existing conditions, effectively 6670 acres of Agriculture Land.

QUESTION? If current 2007 Comprehensive Plan is in compliance with GMA, how does this board justify an additional 985 rural parcels when the potential rural parcels currently exceeds the needs for projected growth?

How will you justify this?
What will it cost taxpayers to defend this?
Resolution 2015-04-05
Planning Assumptions
Planned Population Growth: 129,546
Assumed Annual Growth Rate: 1.12%
Urban/Rural Pop. Growth Split: 90/10
Projected Rural Population Growth: 12,955 persons

Board Principles and Values
Rural Lands:
Minimize the conversion of productive farmland – those lands which have long-term commercial agricultural viability. It is being used today for commercial agriculture?

Revised: Resolution 2016-03-01
Planned Population Growth: 128,586
Assumed Annual Growth Rate: 1.26%
Urban/Rural Pop. Growth Split: 90/10
Projected Rural Population Growth: 12,859 persons

12,859 Rural population growth
at 2.66 persons/household =
4,835 PROJECTED PARCEL NEED (to accommodate projected growth)

What is the number of new parcel Homesites available under the preferred alternative? 8024 new parcels

RESULT?
3189 more parcels than needed.
985 more parcels than existing conditions, effecting 5670 acres of Agriculture Land.

QUESTION? If current 2007 Comprehensive Plan is in compliance with GMA, how does this board justify an additional 985 rural parcels when the potential rural parcels currently exceeds the needs for projected growth?

How will you justify this?
What will it cost taxpayers to defend this?