Subject:

Health department employees write regulatory Health Element for the Comprehensive Plan 2016 update - For the Public Record



--- On Sat, 4/30/16, Caroi Levanen <cnidental@yahoo.com> wrote: > From: Carol Levanen < cnidental@yahoo.com > > Subject: Health department employees write regulatory Health Element for the Comprehensive Plan 2016 update - For the Public Record > To: "Jeanne Stewart" < jeanne.stewart@clark.wa.gov>, "Julie Olson" < julie.olson@clark.wa.gov>, "Marc Boldt" <marc.boldt@clark.wa.gov>, "Tom Mielke" <tom.mielke@clark.wa.gov>, "David Madore" <david.madore@clark.wa.gov> > Date: Saturday, April 30, 2016, 12:32 AM > Dear > Councilors, > This article is very disturbing. These > employees of the Health Department are boasting how they > created the Health Element for the Clark County > Comprehensive Plan, but when a County Commissioner aids > staff in creating a rural and resource alternative in the > Plan, that is called illegal There was nothing in > Alternative 4 that was illegal, and staff, council and the > Board of Councilors are fully aware of that. .The real > reason they are opposed to reasonable zoning in the rural > areas is because doing so does not agree with a no-growth > agenda. The Health title is just another name for what > has been happening for the last 22 years in the rural and > resource lands of the county. It all amounts to a > property rights takings. Health > in Comprehensive Planning: "We Did It and You Can > Too" > > > > > > > > > Health > in Comprehensive Planning: "We Did It and You Can > Too" Brendon > Haggerty and Jonnie Hyde explain their process in authoring > a health element for the update to Clark Co... >

3070

County Citizens United, Inc. is adamantly opposed to theCluster Ordinance proposed for the 2016 Comprehensive Plan

> The proposed Cluster Ordinance clearly falls into

- > the takings category when all rural and resource zoned
- > land cannot divide unless a cluster is used, with the
- > remainder lot locked up forever. The loss of revenue
- > to the landowner is excessive and the Washington
- > Attorney General cautions counties from doing this
- > type of regulation The county staff is tricking the
- > landowners into thinking a Cluster ordinance is a good
- > thing. Landowners would like it for an option to consider,
- > beyond the regular land division in a zone. But, the
- > proposal in the 2016 Plan is to REQUIRE that to create
- > another parcel, the owner can ONLY CLUSTER. This means
- > if a landowner has 20 acres and wants to sell their land to
- > someone who wants to start a farm or forest operation on ten
- > acres, they will not be able to do so. Instead, the
- > lots must be broken up into one or two 1 acre lots and the
- > rest preserved forever. This places a heavy financial
- > burden on the landowner and does nothing to create a
- > diversity of housing, increase economic opportunity, provide
- > opportunity to live and work in the rural area, and
- > preserve the character in the rural and resource lands of
- > Clark County.
- > CCCU.
- > Inc asks the Councilors to reverse the proposed Cluster
- > Ordinance and remove it from the Comprehensive Plan, along
- > with returning Alternative 4 to it's rightful place in
- > the Plan.
- > It would be better to not have a
- > cluster ordinance at all, than to have such a restrictive
- > one.
- > Sincerely,
- > Carol Levanen, Exec. SecretaryClark County Citizens United, Inc.P.O. Box 2188Battle Ground, Washington 98604